

### Journal of Information Technology Management

ISSN #1042-1319

A Publication of the Association of Management

## EXTENT OF ADOPTION OF EDI BY SINGAPOREAN SMES: A SURVEY OF PRACTICES

PING LI ROC CONSULTING INTERNATIONAL, SINGAPORE joyce@rocconsult.com

JOSEPH M. MULA

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN QUEENSLAND, AUSTRALIA

<u>mula@usq.edu.au</u>

### ABSTRACT

Based on the literature review of EDI adoption and small business use of IT, the four variables of decision factor that influence Singaporean SMEs to adopt EDI were identified as perceived benefit of EDI, organizational readiness for EDI, competitive pressure, and power and inter-organization relationships. Furthermore, EDI volume, diversity, depth, and width were also identified as to the degree of EDI adoption/integration that strongly influences the impact of EDI. Finally, the different levels of impact (transaction benefit, information sharing benefit, and competitive benefit) associated with the different degrees of EDI adoption/integration were also identified. This survey-based research examined these three concepts in Singaporean SMEs. Surprisingly, Singaporean SMEs seldom expected that adoption of EDI would improve their trading partner relationships. However, Singaporean SMEs improved their level of awareness of EDI benefits after adoption of EDI.

**Keywords**: electronic commerce, information technology, information system, inter-organizational system, electronic data interchange, EDI adoption, small business, small to medium sized enterprises, SME

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Information Technology (IT) and Electronic Commerce (EC) have changed and continue to change the way business is conducted around the world [32]. Electronic Data Interchange (EDI) plays a key role in e-commerce and is becoming a necessary way of doing business [26]. The projected advantages of EDI and the growing interest in e-commerce (EC) have produced optimistic forecasts for the rate of EDI adoption [8, 26, 33].

However, Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) tend to implement EDI only to satisfy the initiators requirements, increasing the likelihood that an EDI system will be inefficient and thus not provide returns on investment [12]. Furthermore, Lummus and Duclos [20] identified that the use of EDI by SMEs reaped minimal benefits when there is low EDI integration. The lack of EDI capability in small organizations is critical because of the important roles SMEs play in the economy [8, 16].

This research attempts to fill a number of gaps in the literature. Firstly, there is little research on the relationship between the decision factor to adopt EDI, the degree of EDI adoption/integration, and the level of EDI impact. Secondly, there are few empirical research studies on EDI adoption by SMEs (particularly a lack of quantitative research). Finally, it would appear that there has been no research undertaken or published studies on the impacts of EDI on Singaporean SMEs. Based on the literature review of EDI adoption and small business use of IT, four decision factors that influence Singaporean SMEs to adopt EDI were identified - perceived benefit of EDI, organizational readiness, competitive pressure, and power and inter-organization relationships. Furthermore, EDI volume, diversity, depth and width were also identified as to the degrees of EDI adoption/integration that strongly influence the impact of EDI. Finally, the different levels of EDI impact (transaction benefit, information sharing benefit and competitive benefit) associated with the different degrees of EDI adoption/integration were also identified.

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

EDI can be defined as the transmission of standard business documents in a standard format between industrial trading partners [34]. It includes traditional Value Added Network (VAN)-based EDI which is conducted by private network and Internet-based EDI which is conducted via Internet using Extensible Markup Language [19]. Cash and Konsynski [5] indicated that EDI has been credited with contributing to enhanced productivity, flexibility and competitiveness, and is often considered a prerequisite for doing business in a competitive environment. Huff et al. [15] identified that EDI precedes modern-day electronic commerce by almost two decades and it is clearly a type of electronic commerce.

E-commerce (EC) in business is the convergence increasing computing power and declining of telecommunications costs: it is revolutionizing transactions as well as radically changing supplier and customer relationships in business [18]. The volume of global e-commerce and the distribution of e-commerce revenues around the world are likely to change remarkably over time. The growth was not uniform around the globe. USA appears to have been an early bloomer in the world of e-commerce with most of its epotential having already blossomed [6]. Asia as a whole appears less engaged in EC compared to the United States and Europe. One explanation could be that many Asian countries tend to be less developed than Western countries.

A more complete explanation of the lag, however, must include related problems, including lower personal computer penetration, inefficiently managed telecom monopolies, language barriers, hierarchical corporate cultures, and often intrusive and bureaucratic governments [3]. In spite of this inertia, several Asian countries such as Hong Kong, Singapore and Malaysia are in the process of creating IT infrastructure. This will facilitate EC innovation and assist in the removal of the above-mentioned barriers. These countries are aware that the next several years will see tremendous growth in business-to-business EC [18].

However, SMEs globally are perceived as lagging in terms of EC adoption. SMEs tend to implement EDI only to satisfy requirements set by EDI initiators, increasing the likelihood that an EDI system will be inefficient and will not provide returns on investment [12]. Furthermore, an investigation into the use of EDI by SMEs revealed they gained minimal benefits resulting from their low integration of EDI [20]. The lack of EDI capability of small organizations is critical because of the important role SMEs play in the economy [8, 16].

SMEs make a significant contribution to all world economies. In the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) countries, SMEs are said to represent 95% of all enterprises, accounting for two thirds of employment and being the main source of new job creation [1]. Equally, in developing economies, similar proportions of the business stock are made up of small firms, demonstrating the significant importance of the sector regardless of the level of economic development [1]. Moreover, SMEs can make a positive contribution to the potential of developing economies, and the International Finance Corporation has found a positive correlation between a country's overall level of income and the number of SMEs per 1,000 people [24].

This research focuses on Singapore, which aims to become an 'intelligent island' and an e-commerce hub in the region. A National E-Commerce Master Plan (EC Master Plan) was launched in September 1998 to drive the use of e-commerce [9]. The government has been actively promoting and encouraging SMEs to adopt ecommerce. The Singapore Productivity and Standard Board (PSB) released SME-21 Plan in January 2000 [9]. SME-21 is a 10-year strategic plan aimed at building up the capabilities of SMEs so as to enhance their contributions to Singapore's competitiveness and economic growth [30]. SMEs are an important part of the Singapore economy [30]. There are 120,000 SMEs in Singapore [2], which account for 92 % of total establishments. They employ 51% of the workforce and generate 34% of the total value added [30].

Specifically, this research focused on Singapore SMEs. Contributions of SMEs to the Singapore economy have been well documented in a number of aspects including labor absorption, income generation and distribution, poverty alleviation, training ground for entrepreneurship skills, promoting linkage and providing a complementary role to large enterprises [23]. However, Singaporean SMEs tend to face limitations like shortages of professional and technical manpower, lack of technological expertise, traditional methods of operation, and lack of economies of scale. Competition in ecommerce is about the fast beating the slow, not the big beating the small. SMEs must recognize the need and urgently embrace e-commerce to stay in the competition [32].

Chong et al. [7] reported that Singaporean SMEs were still in the infant stage of e-Commerce (EC) but they do not explain why SMEs were still at this stage. Most research on EC inhibitors explored EC's usage for all sizes and types of organizations, or investigated issues at a macro multi-country level [25]. Furthermore, these researches have focused on general EC adoption; they have not identified factors that influence EDI adoption in particular. There is very little research investigating EDI adoption by Singaporean SMEs. Focusing this study on EDI adoption by SMEs, is a preliminary attempt to quantify this area.

#### **RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

A total of 445 questionnaires were distributed with pre-paid envelops to the target organizations by the Singapore EDI Committee (SEC). The EDI users were selected from a confidential database of CrimsonLogic, a leading Application Service Provider (ASP) in Asia Pacific. The survey was addressed to the Manager of the IT/IS department within the respondent organization. A total of 94 questionnaires were returned after follow-up phone calls were made followed by re-faxed or re-mailed questionnaires where necessary. Out of 94 responses, 3 cases were deleted because they had too much missing data. Next, assessments were made on the extent and impact of the missing data of the other 91 respondents to determine whether they were due to a random process [11]. As a result, a total of 91 responses were used for the data analysis. The overall response rate was 22.2 percent (91/410).

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## Decision Factor to Adopt EDI by Singaporean SMEs

Perceived EDI benefit, organizational readiness, competitive pressure, and power and inter-organizational relationships were identified from the literature as major variables of decision factor that greatly influence the adoption and integration of EDI [16-17, 28].

**Perceived EDI Benefit** Increasing operational efficiency was ranked as the most important benefit firms expected before they adopt EDI. Higher information quality was ranked second and reduced transaction cost

was ranked third, with increased ability to compete ranked fourth.

Surprisingly, SMEs seldom expected that adoption of EDI would improve their trading partner relationships. This may be due to the way Singaporean SMEs deal with business. Ho [14] noted that Chinese Singaporean SMEs own 92 percent of all SMEs and that the Chinese culture has an impact on the way they do business. They may still rely on traditional ways to establish trust with their partners and may be unaware that using EDI would improve their trading partner relationship through information sharing.

#### **Organizational Readiness for EDI**

Organizational readiness for EDI refers to available levels of financial resources, IS infrastructure, and organizational compatibility for EDI adoption [4, 16-17]. In this survey, EDI readiness includes the financial resources (slack resources indicators) and IT sophistication of the firm.

*High Slack Resources Indicators:* Before EDI adoption most SMEs (39.6 percent) were at the growth stage, 30.8 percent were at the start up stage and 28.6 percent were at stable/maturity stage. Only 4.4 percent of respondents indicated that new IT discoveries emerged in their industry frequently. Although 63.7 percent of respondents pointed out that the cost of EDI investment was an important issue for their company when considering adoption, 95.6 percent of them felt that management allocated sufficient resources for the EDI system. This may imply that most SMEs are willing to invest in EDI to do business.

From the comparison of company stage before and after adoption of EDI, it would appear that firms have changed their position in terms of the stage of development after adopting EDI. The majority of firms have ranked themselves as stable/mature now.

*IT* Sophistication: Singaporean SMEs had low IT sophistication before the adoption of EDI. 68.1 percent of them noted that the required IT expertise was an important issue and 65 percent of them thought they didn't have the expertise to acquire the technology when they were first considering EDI. Although 81.3 percent of respondents have integrated computer systems (e.g. accounting/finance, human resource, sales/marketing, etc) and 81.3 percent used e-mail before they adopted EDI, 73.6 percent didn't develop any in-house software and indicated that the sophistication of IT was very low.

To develop the EDI system, almost all of them (98.9 percent) had to acquire new software, 95.6 percent of them had to contract expertise/outside consultants, 27.5 percent of them acquired new hardware, and only 5.5 percent of them employed additional/new IT staff.

Specifically, most of them had to use multiple ways to develop the EDI system, e.g. they had to acquire the new software and contract expertise/outside consultants.

It would appear that almost two thirds (65.9 percent) of SMEs didn't have any professional IT staff before the adoption of EDI. 17.6 percent had 1-2 IT staff, 14.3 percent had 3-5 IT staff, and only 2.2 percent had more than 10 IT staff. Closed to two thirds (65.9 percent) of respondents didn't have an IT department before the adoption of EDI. 19.8 percent spent less than S\$50 million and only 14.3 percent spent more than S\$50 million.

More than half of respondents (62.7 percent) indicated that their IT sophistication was lower than moderate. Only 1.1 percent of them had very high sophistication of computer systems.

**Pressure from Competitors** Just over one third of respondents (34.1 percent) indicated that their decision to adopt EDI had been influenced by some of their EDI-capable competitors. Furthermore, only 6.6 percent of respondents indicated that they had more than 50 percent EDI-capable competitors before they adopted EDI.

**Pressure from Trading Partners** Not surprisingly, most respondents (92.3 percent) are non-EDI initiators and 89 percent of them indicated that they faced pressure from their EDI-capable trading partners to adopt EDI. Furthermore, almost half (43.3 percent) faced pressure from government (e.g. customs) and 47.3 percent of them noted that their trading partners requested them to adopt EDI to do business.

# Summary of findings from EDI decision factor

- Singaporean SMEs perceived the benefits of EDI in rank order from 1 (most important) to 8 (least important) as: increased operation efficiency (1), higher information quality (2), reduced transaction cost (3), increased ability to compete (4), better customer service (5), improved cash flow (6), reduced inventory level (7), and improved trading partner relationship (8).
- Singaporean SMEs seem to have a relatively low level of EDI organizational readiness especially in IT sophistication where 65.9 percent of them indicated that they didn't have an IT department and IT staff, and 62.7 percent of them indicated that the sophistication of their computer systems were low or very low.
- Two thirds of Singaporean SMEs didn't think that their decision to adopt EDI was influenced

by competitor pressure even though they had EDI-capable competitors.

• Singaporean SMEs adopted EDI mainly (89 percent) in response to pressure from their trading partners. The majority of their trading partners were government agencies (43.3 percent) followed by their customers (35.2 percent) and suppliers (7.7 percent).

Few Singaporean SMEs were EDI initiators (7.7 percent) and most of them (47.3 percent) were asked by their EDI-capable trading partners to adopt EDI to do business. EDI initiators are organizations that have recognized the need for EDI, possess the necessary financial resources, have reached a high level of IT sophistication, and have not been pressured by external factors into adopting EDI. EDI initiators are extremely rare in the small firm population because of the relatively large investment necessary for EDI initiation [16]. Hence, the finding that only 7.7 percent of respondents were EDI initiators strongly supports the argument of Iacovou et al. [16].

## EDI Adoption/Integration in Singaporean SMEs

In order to evaluate and analyze the extent of EDI usage, four key dimensions of EDI usage are identified. The four dimensions are EDI volume, diversity, depth, and breadth [13, 17, 21, 36].

**EDI Volume** EDI volume dimension represents the extent to which an organization's document exchanges are handled through EDI connections [17]. It is the estimated percentage of EDI transactions to the total number of business document transactions exchanged over a typical monthly period [13].

More than half the respondents (61.6 percent) exchanged 1 to 50 percent of business documents with their trading partners via EDI. In contrast, only 23.1 percent of them exchanged more than 50 percent of business documents with their trading partners via EDI. Close to half (46.2 percent) of them transacted less than 1 percent of sales and purchases via EDI. It could imply that many firms use EDI for other purposes than to process sales and purchase transactions with their customers and suppliers. This finding is consistent with findings discussed earlier which showed that more than half of respondents (59.3 percent) have EDI links with government (e.g. custom).

**EDI Diversity** Jun et al. [17] defines diversity as the number of distinct document types that an organization handles via EDI. It is measured by dividing the number of distinct document types an organization handles via EDI,

by the total number of distinct documents that are being employed in an organization [21]. Most respondents (40.7 percent) processed between 26 and 50 percent of business documents via EDI and only 13.2 percent of them processed between 76 percent – 100 percent of business documents via EDI.

**EDI Depth** According to Jun et al. [17], EDI depth consists of the extent of electronic consolidation that has been established between the businesses processed of trading partners. Jun et al. [17] modified Massetti and Zmud's [21] classification into four levels of depth associated with EDI use, from the shallowest to the deepest: file-to-file, file-to-application, application-to-application, and system-to-system. This classification was used for this research.

Almost three-quarter of respondents (72.5 percent) adopted application-to-application connections. In contrast, only 2.2 percent of firms adopted file-to-file connections. However, the deepest of EDI connection (system-to-system) was still low at 4.4 percent.

**EDI Breadth** EDI breadth consists of the number of EDI partners with which one firm has established EDI linkages [17].

Obviously, the finding shows that most respondents (74 percent) have established EDI links with less than 6 EDI partners. Only 6.6 percent of them have more than 20 EDI partners. The finding provides evidence that the largest numbers of trading partners they link with were their customers followed by their suppliers. This is because one firm can have many customers and suppliers but there was only one government. The findings indicate that 58 out 91 of respondents had EDI links with the government.

Over three quarters (80.2 percent) of respondents had less than 1 percent of their suppliers using EDI for transactions with their firm and 54.9 percent of them had less than 1 percent of their customers using EDI for transactions with their firm. This is similar to the earlier finding that more than half of firms (59.3 percent) had EDI links with government.

#### Summary of findings from EDI Adoption/ Integration:

- *EDI volume*: Over 23 percent of Singaporean SMEs exchanged more than 50 percent of their business documents with their trading partners. Many of them used EDI not for sales/purchase purposed but to declare permits with customs.
- *EDI diversity*: Only 13.2 percent of Singaporean SMEs processed more than 76 percent -100 percent of business documents via EDI.

- *EDI depth*: A majority of Singaporean SMEs (72.5 percent) adopted application-to-application connections (third level of EDI depth) but the deepest EDI connection (system-to-system) still had very low usage (4.4 percent).
- *EDI breadth*: A majority of Singaporean SMEs (74 percent) had established EDI links with less than 6 EDI partners and only 6.6 percent of them had more than 20 EDI partners.

In summary, Singaporean SMEs were at a relatively low level of EDI adoption/integration. This finding further supports previous studies that SMEs around the world are still in a relatively immature and unsophisticated usage stage of EDI [16, 22, 27, 29, 31, 36].

## Impact of EDI Adoption on Singaporean SMEs

Impact refers to the actual benefits adopters receive from utilizing EDI. The different levels of impact (transaction benefit, information sharing benefit, and competitive benefit) were identified [16].

Close to two thirds (63.7 percent) of respondents thought that their own firms would benefit the most from their EDI systems followed by 30.8 percent of them thought their customers would benefit the most. Only 5 percent of them thought suppliers would benefit the most.

Almost all respondents achieved benefits of improved information quality (97.8 percent) and reduced transaction costs (96.7 percent). However, only 35.2 percent of firms improved their ability to compete. The impacts that firms achieved, in rank order, were: improved information quality, reduced transaction cost, improved operational efficiency, improved customer service, improved trading relationship, increased cash flow, improved ability to compete, and reduced inventory. In the present research, the impact is classified into three levels that is transaction benefit, sharing information benefit, and competitive benefit.

The finding also shows that Singaporean SMEs improved their level of awareness of EDI benefits after adoption of EDI. It may imply that they were willing to integrate EDI more widely and deeply in order to achieve the highest level of EDI impact.

**Transaction Benefit** In this research study, transaction benefit includes reduced transaction cost, reduced inventory levels, increased cash flow, and improved information quality. More Singaporean SMEs achieved the impact of higher information quality (mean: 2.98) and reduced transaction cost (mean: 2.97). In contrast, fewer achieved the impact of reduced inventory

level (mean: 2.33) and improved cash flow (mean: 2.45). These results may be due to: (1) 59.3 percent of respondents had EDI links with government (e.g. customs) rather than with suppliers and customers. Hence, a majority of them didn't have inventory; and (2) the questionnaire was addressed to the IT/IS managers who may have ignored the indicator of cash flow.

**Information Sharing Benefit** Information sharing benefit includes improved customer service and trading partner relationship. The impact of improved customer service (mean: 2.68) was higher than improved trading partners relationship (mean: 2.64). This finding may again be because a majority of respondents had EDI links with government. They didn't think that EDI usage could improve the relationship with government.

**Competitive Benefit** Competitive benefit includes improved operational efficiency and improved ability to compete. The impact of improved operational efficiency (mean: 2.87) was higher than improved ability to compete (mean: 2.35). It may imply that more Singaporean SMEs consider EDI usage a business tool rather than a means to achieve competitive advantage.

#### Summary of findings from EDI impact

- EDI impact that Singaporean SMEs have achieved, in rank order, were: improved information quality, reduced transaction cost, improved operational efficiency, improved customer service, improved trading relationship, increased cash flow, improved ability to compete, and reduced inventory.
- Singaporean SMEs improved their level of awareness of EDI benefits through EDI adoption.

Analysis of the data to this point appears to provide some insights on the decision factor of Singaporean SMEs to adopt EDI, the degree Singaporean SMEs integrated EDI, and the level of EDI impact Singaporean SMEs achieved. However, it doesn't provide support for the relationships between specific variables of these three concepts. Further analyses are necessary and provided in the following sections.

#### **Correlation Analysis**

Correlation analysis was used to identify relationships between specific variables of EDI decision factor, EDI adoption/integration, and EDI impact. Correlations indicate that there are moderate to strong relationships between items proposed to measure a given construct. This suggests that the items exhibit moderate to strong convergent validity [10]. The key findings are discussed below.

**EDI** Adoption Decision Factor – Degree of **EDI** Adoption/Integration The results show the significant relationship between key variables of EDI decision factor to the four dimensions of EDI adoption/integration – EDI volume, EDI diversity, EDI depth, and EDI breadth (Tables 1, 2 and 3). The results show that EDI depth was weakly correlated with the variables of decision factor compare to EDI volume, EDI diversity, and EDI breadth.

Another interesting result is that the indicator of pressure from government was negatively correlated to EDI volume, EDI diversity, and EDI breadth but there was no significant relationship to EDI depth (Table 3). This may be explained as (1) a majority of SMEs (59.3 percent) had EDI links with government (e.g. customs) to declare import and/or export permits only leading to low EDI volume, low EDI diversity, and low EDI breadth; but (2) most SMEs (72.5 percent) adopted the third level of EDI communication connection (application-toapplication connection) regardless of the decision factor to adopt EDI. Hence, the results from this sample show that higher pressure from government leads to lower EDI adoption/integration degree in terms of EDI volume, EDI diversity, and EDI breadth but not EDI depth.

		EDI	EDI	EDI	EDI		
		Volume	diversity	Depth	Breadth		
Reduced transaction cost	-c	.225*	.213*	ns	.207*		
	-dos	.032	.042		.049		
Reduced inventory level	-c	.330**	ns	ns	.306**		
	-dos	.001			.003		
Improved cash flow	-c	.369**	ns	ns	.311**		
	-dos	.000			.003		
Higher information quality	-c	.287*	.287**	.225*	.359**		
	-dos	.066	.006	.032	.000		
Better customer service	-c	.463**	.272*	ns	.274**		
	-dos	.000	.009		.009		
Improved trading	-c	.602**	.353**	ns	.439**		
partner relationship	-dos	.000	.001		.000		
Increase operational efficiency		ns	ns	ns	ns		
Increased ability to compete	-c	ns	ns	ns	.226*		
	-dos				.032		
c: coefficient dos: degree of significant ns: not significant ** at 0.01 level * at 0.05 level							

# Table 1: Correlation Analysis – Perceive EDI Benefit associated with EDI Adoption/Integration

 Table 2: Correlation Analysis – Organizational Readiness associated with

 EDI Adoption/Integration

Volume ns .407** .000 ns236* .025 .380** .000	Diversity           .228*           .029           .220*           .036          304**           .003          260*           .013           ns	Depth       ns       ns       ns       ns       ns       ns	Breadth           ns           .345**           .001           ns          402**           .000           .413**           .000
.407** .000 ns 236* .025 .380** .000	.029 .220* .036 304** .003 260* .013	ns ns ns	.345** .001 ns 402** .000 .413**
.000 ns 236* .025 .380** .000	.220* .036 304** .003 260* .013	ns	.001 ns 402** .000 .413**
.000 ns 236* .025 .380** .000	.036 304** .003 260* .013	ns	.001 ns 402** .000 .413**
ns 236* .025 .380** .000	304** .003 260* .013	ns	ns 402** .000 .413**
236* .025 .380** .000	.003 260* .013	ns	402** .000 .413**
.025 .380** .000	260* .013		.000
.025 .380** .000	.013		.000
.380** .000		ns	.413**
.000	ns	ns	
			000
			.000
.268*	.298**	ns	.399**
.010	.004		.000
.291**	.241*	ns	.405**
.005	.021		.000
.320**	.310**	ns	.409**
.002	.003		.000
.485**	.412**	ns	.457**
.000	.000		.000
	.320** .002 .485** .000	.320**         .310**           .002         .003           .485**         .412**           .000         .000	.320**         .310**         ns           .002         .003

		EDI	EDI	EDI	EDI	
		Volume	Diversity	Depth	Breadth	
Pressure from Competitor		ns	ns	ns	ns	
percent of EDI capable	-c	.295**	ns	ns	.301**	
competitor	-dos	.005			.004	
<b>Pressure from Trading Partner</b>		ns	ns	ns	ns	
No. of trading partners	-c	.511**	.375**	.310**	.470**	
	-dos	.000	.000	.003	.000	
No. of large trading partners	-c	.519**	.424**	ns	.506**	
	-dos	.000	.000		.000	
percent of sales and purchase	-c	.691**	.426**	ns	.456**	
	-dos	.000	.000		.000	
EDI initiative	-c	ns	ns	.245*	.281**	
	-dos			.019	.007	
percent of EDI capable trading	-c	.292**	ns	ns	ns	
partners	-dos	.005				
Pressure from customers	-c	.227**	.353**	ns	ns	
	-dos	.031	.001			
Pressure from government	-C	396**	302**	ns	273**	
-	-dos	.000	.004		.009	
c: coefficient dos: degree of sign	ificant	ns: not signific	cant ** at the (	0.01 level * at	0.05 level	

## Table 3: Correlation Analysis – External Pressure associated with EDI Adoption/Integration

**Degree of EDI Adoption/Integration – Level of EDI Impact** The result shows that significant relationships exist between four dimensions of EDI adoption/integration and all variables of EDI impact except transaction cost (Table 4). The reason is that almost all of them (96.7 percent) achieve this basic benefit regardless of their degree of EDI volume, EDI diversity, EDI depth, and EDI breadth.

Table 4: Correlation Analysis - EDI Adoption/Integration associated with EDI Impact

	Transac -tion	Inve- ntory	Cash Flow	Information Quality	Customer Service	T/Partner Relationship	Operational Efficiency	Ability To
	Cost	Level						Compete
EDI -c	ns	.414**	.297**	ns	.441**	.583**	.418**	.556**
Volume -dos		.000	.004		.000	.000	.000	.000
EDI -c	ns	ns	ns	.222*	.293**	.327**	.311**	.328**
Diversity-dos				.035	.005	.002	.003	.002
EDI -c	ns	ns	ns	.287**	.217*	.220*	.259*	.262**
Depth -dos				.006	.038	.036	.013	.012
EDI -c	ns	.339**	ns	ns	.288**	.433**	.224*	.495**
Breadth -dos		.001			.006	.000	.033	.000
c: coefficient	dos: degre	e of signifi	cant n	s: not significan	t ** at the	e 0.01 level * at	t 0.05 level	

**Level of EDI Impact – EDI Decision Factor** The results show significant relationships between the variables of EDI impact with the ones of decision factor (Table 5 and Table 6). The variable 'pressure from government' in Table 7 again shows a negative sign. This seems logical, as the low degree of EDI adoption/integration due to higher pressure from government results in low level of EDI impact (Table 3).

	Perceived Transact cost	Perceived Inventory Level	Perceived Cash Flow	Perceived Inform Quality	Perceived Customer Service	Perceived T/Partner Relation	Perceived Operational Efficiency	Perceived Ability to Compete
Impact of Transaction Cost	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Impact of -c Inventory -dos Level	ns	.587** .000	.400** .000	ns	.255* .015	.249* .017	ns	.373** .000
Impact of -c Cash -dos Flow	ns	.268* .010	.293** .005	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Impact of -c Inform -dos Quality	ns	ns	ns	.220* .036	ns	ns	ns	ns
Impact of -c Customer -dos Service	.316**	.238* .023	.213* .043	.214* .043	.369** .000	.344**	.321**	.271* .010
Impact of -c T/Partner -dos Relation -ship	ns	.387** .000	.428** .000	.331** .001	.380** .000	.587** .000	.284** .000	.300** .004
Impact of -c Operation -dos Efficiency	.315** .002	ns	.303** .004	.393** .000	.220* .036	.343** .001	.219* .037	ns
Impact of -c Ability -dos to Compete	.356** .001	.265* .011	.323** .002	.308** .003	.396** .000	.466** .000	ns	.310** .003

c: coefficient dos: degree of significant ns: not significant \*\* at the 0.01 level \* at 0.05 level

	Firm Stage	IT Dis- covery	Lack of IT Expert	Nece- ssary Expert	System Integ- ration	E- Mail	In- house Soft- ware	IT Staff	IT Bud-get	IT Sophis- tication
Impact of Transaction Cost	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Impact of -c Inventory -dos Level	.227* .030	.422** .000	373** .000	.334** .001	.246* .020	ns	.376** .000	.361** .000	.373** .000	.321** 002
Impact of -c Cash -dos Flow	ns	.219* .037	281** .007	.235* .025	ns	ns	.210* .046	.299** .004	.333** .001	ns
Impact of -c Inform -dos Quality	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Impact of -c Customer -dos Service	ns	.248* .018	ns	ns	ns	.039	ns	ns	ns	.251* .016
Impact of -c T/Partner -dos Relation- ship	.257* .014	.452** .000	271** .009	.253* .016	ns	.401** .000	.296* .004	.279** .007	.273** .009	.467** .000
Impact of -c Operation -dos Efficiency	ns	ns	ns	ns	.210* .047	ns	ns	ns	ns	.222* .034
Impact of -c Ability to -dos Compete	ns	.219* .037	237* .024	.248* .018	.231* .028	.235* .025	.290* .005	.229* .029	.217** .039	.253* .015

Table 6: Correlation Analysis - EDI Impact associated with Organizational Readiness

c: coefficient dos: degree of significant

ns: not significant

\*\* at the 0.01 level \* at 0.05 level

	EDI Comp- etitor	NO. Trading Partner	NO. Large T/partner	percent Sales/ Purchases	EDI Trading Partner	Pressure From Customer	Pressure From Supplier	Pressure From Govern- ment
Impact of Transaction Cost	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Impact of -c Inventory -dos Level	ns	ns	.295** .005	.382** .000	ns	ns	.324** .002	381** .000
Impact of -c Cash Flow -dos	ns	ns	.228* .030	ns	ns	ns	ns	215* .042
Impact of -c Information -dos Quality	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Impact of -c Customer -dos Service	ns	.485** .000	.363** .000	.416** .000	.243* .020	.306** .003	ns	309** .003
Impact of -c T/Partner -dos Relationship	.235* .025	.436** .000	.484** .000	.712** .000	.351** .001	.508** .000	.218* .038	498** .000
Impact of -c Operational -dos Efficiency	ns	.308** .003	.300** .004	.377** .000	ns	ns	ns	ns
Impact of -c Ability to -dos Compete	.279** .007	.523** .000	.450** .000	.506** .000	.244* .020	ns	.219* .037	351** .001

Table 7: Correlation Analysis - EDI Impact associated with External Pressure

c: coefficient dos: degree of significant ns: not significant \*\* at the 0.01 level \* at 0.05 level

#### **CONCLUSION**

The survey research examined the three important concepts (EDI decision factor, EDI adoption/integration, and EDI impact) of EDI adoption process by Singaporean SMEs. The survey results appeared to provide some insights on the decision factor for Singaporean SMEs to adopt EDI, the degree Singaporean SMEs integrated EDI, and the level of EDI impact Singaporean SMEs achieved. The findings indicate that:

(1) few Singaporean SMEs were EDI initiator (7.7 percent) and most of them (43 percent) were asked by their EDI-capable trading partners to adopt EDI to do business,

(2) Singaporean SMEs were at a relatively low level of EDI adoption/integration - this finding supported lacovou et al. [16] study and Williams et al. [35] study that SMEs are still at an immature and unsophisticated stage of EDI usage, and (3) the EDI impact Singaporean SMEs have achieved, in rank order, were: improved information quality, reduced transaction cost, improved operational efficiency, improved customer service, improved trading relationship, increased cash flow, improved ability to

compete, and reduced inventory. The conclusion drawn from this research must be considered within the context of the following limitations: (1) the sample size for this study is relatively small; and (2) the sample was chosen from the EDI users of particular system suppliers using non-random purposive sampling. Thus the results may not be able to be generalized to all SMEs which are currently using EDI in Singapore across all industry sectors.

### REFERENCES

[1] ACCAGLOBAL "Supporting Enterprise Globally", <u>http://www.accaglobal.com/pubs/publicinterest/acti</u> <u>vities/library/small\_business/sb\_pubs/tech-tp-</u> <u>seg.pdf</u>, April 2009.

- [2] ACCADEMY "The Economic Environment and the SME", <<u>http://www.accademy.com/pdfs/smallbusiness/EE</u> SME.doc>, April 2009.
- [3] Anderson, C. *Executive Summary*, presented at Roundtable on Electronic Communities in Asia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 1998.
- [4] Arunachalam, V. "EDI: and analysis of adoption, uses, benefits and barriers," *Journal of Systems Management*, Volume 46, Number 2, 1995, pp.60-65.
- [5] Cash, J. and Konsynski, B., *IS Redraws Competitive Boundaries*. Harvard Business Review, March-April, 1985, pp. 42-134.
- [6] Chakrabarti, R. & Kardile, V. *The Asian Managers Handbook of E-commerce*, Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company Limited, New Delhi, 2002.
- [7] Chong, C.W., Lim, K.S., and Wong, W.H. "How Some Singapore Small and Medium Enterprises Are Using the Internet", in Nanyang Business School (School of Accountancy and Business), Nanyang Technology University, 1998.
- [8] Gengeswari, K. "Integration of Electronic Data Interchange: A Review", Faculty of Management and Human Resource Development, University Tecnologi Malaysia, <u>http://www.fppsm.utm.my/files/Jurnal/JK07/707.pd</u> <u>f</u>, April 2009.
- [9] Gwee, S.K. "E-Commerce for SMEs Singapore's Perspectives", in *E-Commerce, Opportunities and Challenges for SMEs.* T Takashi, Asian Productivity Organization, 2001, pp. 13-17.
- [10] Hair, J.F.J., Anderson, R.E.A., Tatham, R.L. and Black, W.C. *Multivariate Data Analysis*, 3rd Edition, MacMillan Publishing Co: New York, 1992.
- [11] Hair, J.F.J., Anderson, R.E.A., Tatham, R.L. and Black, W.C. *Multivariate Data Analysis*, 5th Edition, Prentice-Hall International Inc., Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, 1998.
- [12] Harris, B, Partfett, M and Sarson, R *The EDI Yearbook, 1994*, Electronic Trade, The European EDI management, NCC Blackwell, Oxford, 1993.
- [13] Hart, P.J. and Saunders, C.S. "Emerging electronic partnership: antecedents and dimensions of EDI use from the supplier's perspective," *Journal of Management Information System*, Volume 14, Number 4, 1998, pp. 87-111.
- [14] Ho, N.K. Impact of CPA Intervention on The Business Performance Of Singaporean Chinese SMEs, Unpublished PhD Thesis, University of South Australia Division of Business and Management, 2000.

- [15] Huff, S.L., Schneberger S. and Newson P. *Cases in Electronic Commerce*. Irwin McGraw-Hill, 2000.
- [16] Iacocou, C.L., Benbasat, I., Dexter, A.S. "Electronic data interchange and small organizations: adoption and impact of technology," *MIS Quarterly*, Volume 19, Number 4, 1995, pp. 85-486.
- [17] Jun M.J., Cai S.H., Peterson, R.T. "EDI use and participation models: from the inter-organization relationship perspective," *Industrial Management* + *Data Systems*, Volume 100, Number 9 2000, pp. 412-420.
- [18] Kendall, J. and Tung, L.L. *Electronic Commerce Adoption by SMEs in Singapore*, HICSS-34, Maui, Hawaii, 2001.
- [19] Li, P. & Mula, J. M. "Electronic data interchange (EDI) adoption: A study of SMEs in Singapore," In A. Cater-Steel & L. Al-Hakim (Eds.), *Information Systems Research Methods, Epistemology, and Applications.* Hershey, PA: Information Science Reference, 2009, pp. 272-292.
- [20] Lummus, R.R. and Duclos, L.K. "Implementation of EDI systems," *Journal of Systems Management*, Volume 46, Number 5, 1995, pp. 8-42.
- [21] Massetti, B. and Zmud, R.W. "Measuring the extent of EDI usage in complex organizations: strategies and illustrative examples," *MIS Quarterly*, Volume 20, Number 3, 1996, pp. 331-345.
- [22] Metzgen, F. *Killing the Paper Dragon: Electronic Data Interchange in Business*. Heinemann Newnes, Oxford, 1990.
- [23] Moha, A.A. and Mohd, I.B.B. Small and Medium Enterprises in Asian Pacific Countries, Volume III: Development Prospects, Nova Science Publishers, Inc, Huntington, NY, 2000.
- [24] Newberry D. *Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises: A collection of Published Data.* International Finance Corporation, 2006.
- [25] OECD SMEs and Electronic Commerce, Working Party on Small and Medium-sized Enterprises, The Directorate for Science, Technology and Industry, Industry Committee, Ottawa DSTL/IND/ PME(1998) 18/REV1, 1998.'
- [26] O'Malley, T. and Matheson, L. "New Directions for Research in Electronic Data Interchange (EDI)," *Journal of Information Technology Management*, Volume 13, Number 3-4, 2002, pp. 33-43.
- [27] Parfett, M. What is EDI? A Guide to Electronic Data Interchange, Second Edition, Oxford, UK: NCC Blackwell, 1992.
- [28] Premkumar, G and Ramamurthy, K "The Role of Inter-organizational and Organizational Factors on

the Decision Mode for Adoption of Interorganizational Systems," *Decision Science*, Volume 26, Number 3, 1995, pp. 37-303.

- [29] Rebecca, A., Nath R. and Hendon D.W. "An empirical investigation of the level of electronic data interchange (EDI) implementation and its ability to predict EDI system success measures and EDI implementation factors," *International Journal* of *Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, Volume 28, Number 9/10, 1998, pp. 773-780.
- [30] SNEF "Industry Information SME 21", Singapore National Employers Federation, <<u>http://www.accademy.com/pdfs/smallbusiness/EE</u> <u>SME.doc</u>>, April 2009.
- [31] Swatman, P.M.C. and Swatman, P.A. "EDI system integration: a definition and literature survey," *The Information Society*, Volume 8, Number 3, 1992, pp.169 - 205.
- [32] Takashi, T. E-Commerce, Opportunities and Challenges for SMEs, Asian Productivity Organization, 2001.
- [33] TureCommerce "EDI Overview: A Practical Guide to EDI and the TrueCommerce Solution", TrueCommerce Inc., <u>http://www.truecommerce.com/sagelp/EDI\_White</u> <u>Paper.pdf</u>, April 2009.
- [34] Walton, S.V., & Marucheck, A.S. "The relationship between EDI and supplier reliability," *International Journal of Purchasing and Materials Management*, Volume 33, Number 3 1997, pp. 37-76.
- [35] Williams, B.C., Hood, K.L., Chen, J.C. "Understanding changes in system, accounting and auditing: the impact of EDI," *Managerial Auditing Journal*, Volume 12, Number 6, 1997, pp. 298-304.
- [36] Williams, L.R., Magee, G.D. and Suzuki, Y. "A multidimensional view of EDI: testing the value of EDI participation to firms," *Journal of Business Logistics*, Volume.9, Number 2, 1998, pp. 73-87.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge Singapore EDI Committee (SEC). This EDI survey was delivered under the auspices of SEC. With support from the Chairman, Mr. Kenneth Lim, two EDI experts Mr. Kamarudin Bin Tambi and Mr. Kolandaivelu SathaSivam spent valuable time with the authors in refining the initial questionnaire and paper. Furthermore, Mr. Kamarudin and Ms. Connie Hing contributed significantly by sending to and collecting from the target organizations the questionnaires.

#### **AUTHOR BIOGRAPHIES**

**Ping Li** is managing director of ROC Consulting International in Singapore where she provides a series of customized and effective corporate advisory services covering B-2-B e-commerce, corporate finance, and executive education to Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) from around the world. Prior to ROC, she gained experience in IT and finance industries. She received her PhD from the University of South Australia Division of International Business and Management. Her research interests include the impact of adopting Electronic Data Interchange (EDI) on SME performance, B-2-B ecommerce, and information system management.

**Joseph M. Mula** (PhD) is a senior lecturer in the Faculty of Business at the University of Southern Queensland. He has over 40 years of industrial experience in the accounting, information systems, and management as well as over 30 years in education. A number of papers have been published on topics in IS, accounting, family business, and econometric modeling of government policy. Joseph's current research focuses on sustainable systems, forensic business investigation, education technology, and information systems in virtual organizations.